

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

GREE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SUPERCELL OY,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 2:19-cv-00070-JRG-RSP


ORDER

Defendant Supercell (“Defendant”) previously filed Motion to Exclude the Testimony of GREE, Inc.’s Damages Expert, Stephen Becker. (Dkt. No. 198.) Magistrate Judge Payne entered a Memorandum Order (Dkt. No. 343), denying Defendant’s Motion to Exclude. Defendant has now filed Objections (Dkt. No. 362) to the Memorandum Order, with Plaintiff GREE, Inc. filing a Response. (Dkt. No. 389.)

After reviewing the briefing on the Motion to Exclude, Judge Payne’s Memorandum Order, and the briefing on Defendant’s Objections, the Court agrees with the reasoning provided within the Memorandum Order and concludes that the Objections fail to show that the Memorandum Order was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

Consequently, the Court **OVERRULES** Defendant’s Objections (Dkt. No. 362) and **ADOPTS** Judge Payne’s Memorandum Order (Dkt. No. 343.)

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 24th day of August, 2020.



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE